Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/574,413	LORENTZ ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kuo-Liang Peng	1796
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Kuo-Liang Peng</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Brain H. Kentshire</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 September 2010	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI Claim 12 is amended to fix improper dependency issue.	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a writton record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Vuo Liong Dong/		
/Kuo-Liang Peng/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)